

# Derived Prime-Harmonic Envelope on CA Support

## Supplement to the Corrected Robin–MVDC Programme

Ing. Robert Polak  
robopol@gmail.com  
<https://robopol.sk>

June 4, 2026

### Abstract

This supplement continues the corrected status PDF manuscript of the Robin–MVDC programme [1]. It records the exact point reached by the reciprocal-prime Route II. First, it recalls the certified  $B_n^{\text{env}}$ -envelope for  $\sigma(n)/n$ , explains how it is obtained from the exact divisor product, and shows how that envelope produces the Robin reserve used by the block ledger. Starting from the first-moment beta ledger on CA support blocks, the remaining condition is then rewritten as an upper envelope for the prime-harmonic remainder

$$A(x) - B = \sum_{p \leq x} \frac{1}{p} - \log \log x - B.$$

The resulting admissible envelope has the form

$$A(x_i) - B \leq \frac{C_{\text{req}}(x_i)}{\sqrt{x_i} \log x_i}$$

on CA support endpoints  $x_i$ , where  $C_{\text{req}}(x_i)$  is determined by the Robin–MVDC ledger. This is a derived requirement, not a proved theorem about the primes. The remaining analytic obstruction is therefore the infinite-range certification of this prime-harmonic oscillation bound on the CA support sequence. Existing explicit reciprocal-prime estimates are reviewed only to clarify why the known all-range bounds are too coarse for this ledger.

**Keywords:** Riemann Hypothesis; Robin’s inequality; colossally abundant numbers; beta envelope; reciprocal primes; prime-harmonic remainder; MVDC; explicit prime estimates.

*Status of this supplement. This is a working research note, not a completed proof. It depends on the exact Robin ledger of the corrected status PDF manuscript [1]. It records the exact point reached by the Robin–MVDC Route II reduction: the remaining Robin ledger is reduced to a certified upper envelope for the prime-harmonic fluctuation on CA support endpoints. The envelope is derived by the ledger algebra; the missing theorem is the infinite-range prime-oscillation bound needed to certify that the primes obey it.*

## 1 Relation To The Main PDF

This note is a supplement to the corrected status PDF manuscript [1], specifically to its exact Robin ledger and to Route II, the first-moment reciprocal-prime certificate. The present text does not replace that manuscript. Its role is narrower: it spells out the  $B_n$ -envelope used to dominate  $\sigma(n)/n$ , then follows the Route II algebra until the remaining prime-harmonic oscillation target is made explicit.

The main PDF denotes the actual multiplicative divisor deficit by  $A(n)$ . In this supplement we write that deficit as  $\mathcal{D}(n, x)$ , because the letter  $A(x)$  is reserved below for the reciprocal-prime

remainder

$$A(x) = \sum_{p \leq x} \frac{1}{p} - \log \log x.$$

We also reserve  $B$  for the Meissel–Mertens prime constant. The divisor-sum upper envelope is therefore written as  $B_n^{\text{env}}$ .

## 2 The $B_n$ Upper Envelope

Robin’s criterion [2] reduces the Riemann Hypothesis to Robin’s inequality above the finite cutoff 5040. The present programme tests the remaining large-candidate ledger on CA/SA-type support profiles, in the spirit of the extremal divisor-sum structure studied by Alaoglu and Erdos [3]. For the CA/SA profiles retained in the corrected ledger, the support is full up to the largest prime  $x = P(n)$ :

$$n = \prod_{p \leq x} p^{a_p}, \quad a_p \geq 1.$$

For each prime power,

$$\frac{\sigma(p^a)}{p^a} = 1 + \frac{1}{p} + \cdots + \frac{1}{p^a} = \frac{1 - p^{-(a+1)}}{1 - p^{-1}}.$$

Hence

$$\frac{\sigma(n)}{n} = \prod_{p \leq x} \frac{1 - p^{-(a_p+1)}}{1 - p^{-1}} = \beta(x) \exp\{-\mathcal{D}(n, x)\},$$

where

$$\beta(x) := \prod_{p \leq x} \frac{p}{p-1}, \quad \mathcal{D}(n, x) := -\sum_{p \leq x} \log(1 - p^{-(a_p+1)}).$$

Let  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{env}}(n, x)$  be any certified lower envelope for the actual deficit:

$$\mathcal{D}_{\text{env}}(n, x) \leq \mathcal{D}(n, x).$$

Define the divisor-sum envelope

$$B_n^{\text{env}} := \beta(x) \exp\{-\mathcal{D}_{\text{env}}(n, x)\}. \tag{1}$$

This is the  $B_n$ -envelope used by the ledger.

**Lemma 1** (Certified divisor-sum envelope). *If  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{env}}(n, x) \leq \mathcal{D}(n, x)$ , then*

$$\frac{\sigma(n)}{n} \leq B_n^{\text{env}}.$$

*Proof.* The map  $t \mapsto e^{-t}$  is decreasing. Therefore

$$e^{-\mathcal{D}(n, x)} \leq e^{-\mathcal{D}_{\text{env}}(n, x)}.$$

Multiplying by  $\beta(x) > 0$  and using the exact product formula above gives

$$\frac{\sigma(n)}{n} = \beta(x) e^{-\mathcal{D}(n, x)} \leq \beta(x) e^{-\mathcal{D}_{\text{env}}(n, x)} = B_n^{\text{env}}.$$

□

Thus  $B_n^{\text{env}}$  is not a fitted numerical curve. It is obtained by starting from the exact formula for  $\sigma(n)/n$  and replacing the actual divisor deficit by a certified lower bound. The trivial envelope is  $\beta(x)$ , obtained from  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{env}} = 0$ . Any stronger certified lower envelope for  $\mathcal{D}(n, x)$  lowers  $B_n^{\text{env}}$  while keeping it above  $\sigma(n)/n$ .

Robin's inequality follows from the sufficient envelope condition

$$B_n^{\text{env}} \leq e^\gamma \log \log n.$$

Taking logarithms and writing

$$E(x) := \log \beta(x) - \gamma - \log \log x, \quad B_{\log}(n, x) := \log \frac{\log \log n}{\log x},$$

we obtain

$$\log B_n^{\text{env}} - \gamma - \log(\log \log n) = E(x) - \mathcal{D}_{\text{env}}(n, x) - B_{\log}(n, x).$$

Therefore it is sufficient to prove the exact reserve gate

$$E(x) \leq \mathcal{D}_{\text{env}}(n, x) + B_{\log}(n, x). \quad (2)$$

The reserve used below is precisely a certified lower bound for the right-hand side of (2) on the CA endpoint under consideration.

### 3 Block Objects

Let  $x_i$  denote the sampled CA support endpoints and write

$$Y = x_{i-1}, \quad x = x_i.$$

The reciprocal-prime remainder is

$$A(x) := \sum_{p \leq x} \frac{1}{p} - \log \log x,$$

and  $B$  denotes the Meissel–Mertens prime constant. The fluctuation to be controlled is therefore

$$A(x) - B.$$

On a CA support block put

$$\nu = \pi(x) - \pi(Y), \quad H = \log \frac{\log x}{\log Y}, \quad \mu = \frac{H}{\nu}.$$

Let  $R(x)$  be the certified CA reserve at the endpoint  $x$ , and let  $U(Y)$  be the incoming certified upper ledger at  $Y$ . The available block threshold is

$$T(Y, x) := R(x) - U(Y).$$

### 4 First-Moment Gate

The beta-error block is

$$Q(Y, x) = \sum_{Y < p \leq x} -\log(1 - 1/p) - \log \frac{\log x}{\log Y}.$$

With

$$u_p = e^{-\mu} \frac{p}{p-1} - 1,$$

we have

$$Q(Y, x) = \sum_{Y < p \leq x} \log(1 + u_p) \leq \sum_{Y < p \leq x} u_p =: M_1(Y, x).$$

Writing

$$S_{-1}(Y, x) := \sum_{Y < p \leq x} \frac{1}{p-1},$$

the first moment is exactly

$$M_1(Y, x) = e^{-\mu}(\nu + S_{-1}(Y, x)) - \nu. \quad (3)$$

Thus Route II is closed on the block if the sufficient first-moment gate

$$M_1(Y, x) \leq T(Y, x) \quad (4)$$

holds. Solving (4) with (3) gives

$$S_{-1}(Y, x) \leq \nu(e^\mu - 1) + e^\mu T(Y, x). \quad (5)$$

## 5 Reduction To $A(x)$

The key exact identity is

$$\frac{1}{p-1} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p(p-1)}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} S_{-1}(Y, x) &= \sum_{Y < p \leq x} \frac{1}{p} + \sum_{Y < p \leq x} \frac{1}{p(p-1)} \\ &= \log \frac{\log x}{\log Y} + A(x) - A(Y) + C_2(Y, x), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$C_2(Y, x) := \sum_{Y < p \leq x} \frac{1}{p(p-1)}.$$

Substituting this into (5) gives

$$H + A(x) - A(Y) + C_2(Y, x) \leq \nu(e^\mu - 1) + e^\mu T(Y, x).$$

Solving for  $A(x)$ , the first-moment gate is equivalent to

$$A(x) \leq A_{\text{req}}(Y, x), \quad (6)$$

where

$$A_{\text{req}}(Y, x) := A(Y) - C_2(Y, x) + \nu(e^\mu - 1) - H + e^\mu T(Y, x). \quad (7)$$

Equivalently, define

$$D(Y, x) := \nu(1 - (1 + \mu)e^{-\mu}).$$

Since

$$e^\mu D(Y, x) = \nu(e^\mu - 1) - H,$$

the same required envelope can be written as

$$A_{\text{req}}(Y, x) = A(Y) - C_2(Y, x) + e^\mu(T(Y, x) + D(Y, x)). \quad (8)$$

## 6 The Derived Envelope

Define the normalized required constant by

$$C_{\text{req}}(x) := (A_{\text{req}}(Y, x) - B)\sqrt{x} \log x. \quad (9)$$

Then (6) is exactly the same statement as

$$A(x) - B \leq \frac{C_{\text{req}}(x)}{\sqrt{x} \log x}. \quad (10)$$

This is the point reached by the reduction. The right-hand side in (10) is not inserted by hand; it is the admissible fluctuation budget produced by the Robin–MVDC ledger. It says how small the prime-harmonic fluctuation must be on the CA support endpoint  $x$  for the Robin ledger to close.

What has not been proved is that the primes satisfy (10) for all sufficiently large CA support endpoints. Thus the remaining obstruction is the prime-core problem:

certify the positive oscillation of  $A(x) - B$  on the infinite CA support range.

## 7 Why A Fixed $C$ -Scale Matters

The hard content is the scale

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{x} \log x},$$

not the particular numerical value of a constant. A theorem of the form

$$A(x_i) - B \leq \frac{C_*}{\sqrt{x_i} \log x_i}$$

with a fixed constant  $C_*$  on all sufficiently large CA support endpoints would be a genuine RH-scale oscillation control. If, in addition,

$$C_* \leq C_{\text{req}}(x_i)$$

eventually, or if the excess  $C_* - C_{\text{req}}(x_i)$  is absorbed by a cumulative ledger margin, then Route II would close.

By contrast, a bound that can be rewritten only as

$$A(x) - B \leq \frac{C_{\text{eff}}(x)}{\sqrt{x} \log x}$$

with  $C_{\text{eff}}(x) \rightarrow \infty$  does not provide the needed oscillation control. It merely expresses a weaker estimate in the same notation.

## 8 Literature Check

Rosser–Schoenfeld [4] prove the sharp finite-window estimate

$$A(x) \leq B + \frac{2}{\sqrt{x} \log x}$$

for  $1 < x < 10^8$ . This is the origin of the useful  $C = 2$  benchmark, but it is not an infinite-range input.

Their all-large reciprocal-prime estimate is of logarithmic scale,

$$|A(x) - B| \leq \frac{1}{2 \log^2 x},$$

which corresponds in the present normalization to an effective constant

$$C_{\text{eff}}(x) = \frac{\sqrt{x}}{2 \log x}.$$

This grows with  $x$ , and is therefore too coarse for the Robin–MVDC ledger.

Dusart [5] and Axler [6] give sharper explicit reciprocal-prime estimates in powers of  $\log x$ . For example Axler’s upper bound

$$A(x) - B \leq \frac{1}{20 \log^3 x} + \frac{3}{16 \log^4 x} \quad (x \geq 46\,909\,074)$$

becomes

$$C_{\text{eff}}(x) = \sqrt{x} \left( \frac{1}{20 \log^2 x} + \frac{3}{16 \log^3 x} \right)$$

in the  $C/(\sqrt{x} \log x)$  scale. This is useful as a finite diagnostic, but it is not a fixed- $C$  RH-scale theorem.

## 9 Numerical Audit

The support script

```
current_support/robin_mvdc_status_support/test_c2_shortfall_trend.py
```

computes  $C_{\text{req}}$  and the measured value

$$C_{\text{actual}}(x) := (A(x) - B)\sqrt{x} \log x$$

on sampled CA support endpoints. The following table is numerical evidence only; it is not a proof of the infinite-range oscillation bound. The corresponding auxiliary scripts and audit data are maintained in the support repository [7].

$x$	$C_{\text{req}}(x)$	$C_{\text{actual}}(x)$	$C_{\text{req}}(x) - C_{\text{actual}}(x)$	$C_{\text{req}}(x) - 2$
6382007	1.563181	0.770993	0.792188	-0.436819
12253883	1.768796	0.976166	0.792630	-0.231204
29093377	1.629640	0.836043	0.793597	-0.370360
56048351	1.477260	0.697665	0.779596	-0.522740
78580847	1.657538	0.886993	0.770545	-0.342462
108115627	1.789141	0.996545	0.792596	-0.210859
175589599	2.044976	1.253114	0.791862	+0.044976
258144067	1.856973	1.071509	0.785464	-0.143027
339396977	1.438563	0.663823	0.774740	-0.561437
499283831	2.029917	1.272876	0.757041	+0.029917
701361907	1.917137	1.145477	0.771660	-0.082863
966679613	1.699811	0.910342	0.789469	-0.300189

The measured fluctuation is well below the derived admissible envelope on the sampled range. The difficulty is not the finite arithmetic in this table, but the missing proof that this remains true on the infinite CA support range.

## 10 Final Analytic Target

**Analytic Target 1** (CA-support prime-harmonic envelope). *Prove that for all sufficiently large CA support endpoints  $x_i$ ,*

$$A(x_i) - B \leq \frac{C_{\text{req}}(x_i)}{\sqrt{x_i} \log x_i},$$

where  $C_{\text{req}}(x_i)$  is the admissible ledger constant defined by (9).

This target is exactly what remains after the Route II reduction. It is not an MVDC algebra problem anymore; it is a certified oscillation-control problem for the reciprocal-prime remainder on the CA support sequence.

## References

- [1] R. Polak, Corrected Status of the Robin–MVDC Programme: Superseding the Previous Robin–Mertens Proof Branch and Consolidated Manuscript, PDF working manuscript, 2026.
- [2] G. Robin, Grandes valeurs de la fonction somme des diviseurs et hypothese de Riemann, *Journal de Mathematiques Pures et Appliquees*, 63 (1984), 187–213.
- [3] L. Alaoglu and P. Erdos, On highly composite and similar numbers, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 56 (1944), 448–469.
- [4] J. B. Rosser and L. Schoenfeld, Approximate formulas for some functions of prime numbers, *Illinois Journal of Mathematics*, 6 (1962), 64–94.
- [5] P. Dusart, Explicit estimates of some functions over primes, *Ramanujan Journal*, 45 (2018), 227–251.
- [6] C. Axler, New estimates for some functions defined over primes, *Integers* 18 (2018), Paper No. A52, 21 pp.
- [7] R. Polak, Riemann-hypothesis: auxiliary scripts and numerical audit data for the Robin–MVDC programme, GitHub repository, 2026, <https://github.com/robopol/Riemann-hypothesis>.